# Machine Learning in Agricultural, Environmental and Applied Economics

Hugo Storm, Kathy Baylis, Thomas Heckelei

#### Outline Part I ML from an applied econometrics perspective

- Approach to avoid overfitting
- Supervised approaches
  - Shrinkage methods
  - Tree based methods
  - Neural networks
- Unsupervised approaches
- Model complexity versus interpretability

#### Outline Part II What ML can add to our toolbox

- Restrictive functional forms
- Extract information from unstructured data
- Large number of explanatory variables
- Causal inference and Identification
- Limitations of simulation models for policy analysis

### For today

- Shameless self promotion (
- Quick overview of Neural Networks (NN)
- How can they help?
  - O Restrictive functional forms
  - O Extract information from unstructured data
  - O Deal with a large number of explanatory variables
  - O Causal inference and Identification
- Example: NN for policy simulation

#### Neural networks (NN)

- Can learn highly nonlinear multiinput/output relations
- Highly flexible/nonlinear
- Deep NN have many layers => representation learning
- Less automatic to train than trees but can handle more complex data structures (e.g. Convolutional NN (CNN) for images or Recurrent NN (RNN) for time series/panel data)



#### **Unsupervised approaches** *Autoencoder*

- Aim to learn joined probability of (x) instead of E(y|x)
- Non-linear version of PCA
- Aim: Extract most relevant features in (x)

#### Undercomplete autoencoder



#### How can NNs help?

## **Restrictive functional forms**

- Many problems are non-linear. Theory provides only weak guidance
- Particularly environmental/physical processes
- Underfitting can lead to bias
- Existing flexible econometric tools struggle with large K and/or N
- NN good for time series (instead of AR)



#### Extract information from unstructured data

- Unstructured data = everything that cannot be processed in a spreadsheet
- Examples: (remote sensing) images, text, cell phone records, weather
- Current approach: extract hand crafted features

- ML approches
- 1) End-to-end learning
- 2) Unsupervised pre-training
- 3) Transfer learning
- 4) Automatic feature creation
- 5) Text methods

#### Unstructured data: End-to-end learning

- Raw data as input variables (without preprocessing)
  - + No manual feature extraction
  - + No information loss
  - Requires large labeled datasets

Rußwurm and Körner (2017)

• Crop classification with remote sensing

• >137.000 labelled fields, 19 crops



ata)

#### **Unstructured data: Unsupervised pre-training**

First successful training of deep NN (Hinton et al. 2006)

- 1) Pretraining NN layers as autoencoder using unlabeled data
- 2) Train last layer using labeled data
- + Can make use of unlabeled data
- Aims to preserve as much variation as possible (not necessarily the most relevant variation)



### **Unstructured data: Transfer Learning**

- Use models trained in one context as starting point
- E.g. "Representation learning"



Jean et al. (2016) poverty prediction: *Transfer learning* 

- 1) VGG trained on ImageNet
- 2) Predict nightlights intensity classes from daylight images
- 3) Predict poverty indices

Why does it work? Examples, roofing material and distance to urban areas

#### Large number of explanatory variables

- In many application K is large and/or high temporal/spatial resolution (scanner/climate date)
- Current approach: Handcrafted collapsing, test driven selection, PCA, Bayesian model selection

Source:https://di scuss.pytorch.or g/t/example-ofmany-to-onelstm/1728

#### ML approches

 Can better handle large K
 Unsupervised feature extraction
 RNN/CNN to deal with high temporal/spatial resolution



#### **Causal inference and Identification**

Causal inference can be thought of as a prediction problem: What would have happened in absence of the treatment?

(i) Counterfactual simulation [exogenous treatment]
(ii) Causal forests [selection on observables, heterogeneous effects]
(iii) Double Machine Learning [selection on observables, average effects]
(iv) ML Panel Methods [unobserved time-invariant characteristics]
(v) IV with may instruments [endogenous treatment, linear]
(vi) Deep IV estimation [endogenous treatment, nonlinear]

### Example: RNN to evaluate farm policy

#### How does farm policy affect farm structure?

Why do we care?

- Much agricultural policy is justified by the 'need to preserve the family farm'
- Most agricultural policies have differentiated payments based on size and/or payment caps

Challenges:

- (1) multi-dimensional measure of farm structure
- (2) non-linear policy (and policy effects) over multiple outputs
- (3) spatial dependence
- (4) dynamics

#### Why Norway?

- Very detailed data (>70,000 geocoded farms from 1999-present)
- Very complex, activist farm policy, with many kinks
- Regional heterogeneity

#### **Policy notes**

Subsidy levels updated each year in negotiation with the farmer's union Paid based on last year's activity level

#### **Producer Support Estimate**

Country	2017
Iceland	55
Korea	53
Norway	52
EU	18
OECD - Total	17
United States	ç

#### **Policy change**

	NOK/Head			
Size (head)	2014	2015		
1-50	1326	1000		
51-100	1070	1000		
101-200	347	250		
201-300	210	250		
>300	0	250		
Total cap	280k	560k		





### Recurrent NN with Long Short Term Memory (RNN-LSTM)



- LSTM cells pass information across time in a cell-state vector *c*
- Takes new input each period (X) and use *gates* to figure out what information it can keep and what we can forget (*a* = *Gc*)

#### Intuition

- Cell state encodes past information
- Model learns itself how to encode information
- and which information to keep/forget
- > no need to specify lag structure
- > Lag structure can vary across variables

Example:

- Farm stops milk production
- Specific number of cows every past year might not be relevant
- Sufficient to encode that it had dairy once (maybe the maximum number of cows)

#### Simulation



	NOK/Head					
	2014	2015	2016	2016	2016	
Size (head)			base	Flat	Increase	
0-50	1326	1000	1000	600	1500	
51-100	1070	1000	1000	600	1500	
101-200	347	250	250	600	0	
201-300	210	250	250	600	0	
>300	0	250	250	600	0	
Total cap	280k	560k	560k	560k	560k	







#### Implications

- Without explicit economic information, RNN is able to pick up reasonably direct and indirect responses to changes in subsidies
- Able to model more complex dynamic processes than standard AR models
- And more complex spatial patterns

### Lots of things yet to do...

- Explore dynamics
- Embed farm fixed effects
- Compare to standard panel model estimation
- Explore how well CNNs can pick up spatial dependence

### ML and Environmental Econ: Coming soon

- Thinking hard about selection of input data
- Introducing structure into ML models
- Statistical properties of ML and uncertainty (probabilistic programming)
- Application to Causal Inference